California Department of Education January 2017

District Name: Etiwanda School District

CD Code: 36-67702

LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY PROGRAM IMPROVEMENT PLAN ADDENDUM

completely rewriting the existing LEA Plan, we recommend using this LEA Plan Addendum template to address the items being identified, develop or revise an LEA Plan, in consultation with parents, school staff, and others. Rather than below. Type your responses in the expandable text boxes. that local educational agencies (LEAs) identified for Program Improvement (PI) shall, not later than three months after The Elementary and Secondary Education Act, codified as No Child Left Behind (NCLB, Section 1116[c][7][A]), requires

916-319-0836 if you have any questions regarding the State Assessment Tools or completing the LEA Plan Addendum Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ti/stateassesspi.asp. Contact the District Innovation and Improvement Office at improved student achievement. These tools are available on the California Department of Education (CDE) State Assessment Tools Prior to developing this revision, please use the State Assessment Tools, as applicable, to analyze school/district needs

regarding the LEA Plan Addendum, please contact Jacqueline Matranga, Education Programs Consultant, District Innovation and Please submit an electronic copy of your completed LEA Plan Addendum to CDE at LEAP@cde.ca.gov. If you have any questions Improvement Office by e-mail at JLiang@cde.ca.gov Improvement Office by e-mail at JMatranga@cde.ca.gov, or Jane Liang, Education Programs Consultant, District Innovation and

should: The LEA Plan Addendum must be submitted to the CDE no later than Wednesday, April 19, 2017. The LEA Plan Addendum

1. Identify fundamental teaching and learning needs in the schools of the LEA and the specific academic problems of low-achieving students, including a determination of why the prior LEA Plan failed to bring about increased academic achievement for all student groups.

problems of low achieving students). Include an analysis of why the prior LEA Plan was not successful Please provide a summary analysis of the needs assessment used to identify student learning needs (especially the academic

Needs Assessment

- w N-Analyze the results of the assessments used to determine the teaching and learning needs of the schools and the district
 - Identify academic priorities.
- Review why the prior LEA Plan was not successful

Results of Assessment

Strengths

- students were neutralized, the English learner subgroup population did not meet Safe Harbor AYP. designation is a result of a penalty for exceeding cap on administering the California Alternate Performance Assessment calculation. The number of EL students scoring proficient met Safe Harbor AYP in 2013; however, when three (3) quality instructional program is in place in schools and the effective use of data analysis. Program Improvement District-wide data reviewed by the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) Advisory Committee indicates a high (CAPA) and as a result eight (8) proficient students were "neutralized" or not counted as proficient in the 2013 AYP
- 2 in the classroom to meet the needs of all students. days and preparation periods). During this collaborative time, teachers review student achievement and adjust instruction Teachers and administrators are provided with time to collaborate on student achievement (non-student days, minimum
- υ, 4. State Board adopted materials are available to all students.
- information and resources to address deficits. Diagnostic and interim assessments monitor student achievement and provide teachers and administrators with
- Ģ English Learners make progress towards language proficiency and reclassification rates, exceeding AMAO criteria

Needs

- H Findings from the district-wide data analysis indicate a need for academic intervention in the area of mathematics
- 2 Findings from the district-wide data analysis indicate a need for academic intervention in the area of reading
- įω universal access time. Self-assessments indicate the need for professional development for teachers in providing designated instruction during
- 4 provided prior to special education referral. Self-assessments indicate a need to refine Multi-Tiered Systems of Support (MTSS) and improve the intervention support

Academic Priorities

- 2. 1. Closing the achievement gap for state identified groups of students using designated instruction during universal access time
- Provide extended day intervention to at-risk and non-proficient students.
- Ų. and professional learning communities Set achievement goals for at-risk students and monitor academic progress through the use of data management software
- 5.4 Implement effective small group instruction and resources during designated universal access time
- Integrated instruction, remediation, and literacy Provide administrators and teachers with professional development in researched-based instructional strategies,

Prior LEA Plan

and services. achievement data and close monitoring of student progress is key to our success and will continue to improve our programs English learner students, and research-based intervention for at-risk English learner students. Careful analysis of including: professional development in the area of designated instruction for at-risk students, language development for The LEA Plan has been successful in meeting student needs; however, there are some areas where it can be improved

Include specific, measurable achievement goals and targets for student groups identified as not making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP), including students with disabilities and English learners, as appropriate.

making AYP. (Refer to the CDE AYP Reports Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/aypreports.asp. Please describe specific, measurable academic goals and targets for student achievement for student groups identified as not

CAASPP Standards Met/Exceeded for English learner students in ELA: 39% CAASPP Standards Met/Exceeded District Average in ELA: 64%

CAASPP Standards Met/Exceeded for English learner students in Math: 39% CAASPP Standards Met/Exceeded District Average in Math: 54%

An achievement gap exists for English learner students in ELA and Math

The Annual Measurable Achievement Objectives (AMAO) for English learner subgroup include

AMAO 1 TARGET: Percentage of EL students will meet or exceed state/federal targets for learning English MET for 2015/16 with 75% of EL MET for 2015/16 with 71.4% of EL Students attaining target (11 points over federal target of 60.5%)

AMAO 2A & 2B TARGET: Percentage of EL students will meet or exceed state/federal targets for English proficiency 2A - MET for 2015/16 with 40% of EL Students attaining target (16 points over federal target of 24.2%) 2B - MET for 2015/16 with 64.9% of EL Students attaining target (14 points over federal target of 50.9%)

for state-wide testing in English-Language Arts and Mathematics AMAO 3 TARGET: Percent of EL students will meet or exceed state/federal AYP targets of 98% or above participation rate

EL students MET 2015/16 Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) in the area of Language Arts (99% participation rate) and Mathematics (99% participation rate)

schools served by the LEA, including students with disabilities and English learners, as appropriate. Incorporate research-based strategies to strengthen the core academic program for identified student groups in

strengthen the core academic program. Please describe the specific strategies that the district will use and how those strategies will be implemented and monitored to

learners and differentiated instruction for students with disabilities LEA will adopt and train teachers to use the Wonders and Study Sync ELA texts, including the designated instruction supports for English

All teachers in the Etiwanda School District are highly qualified, CLAD trained and provide sheltered instruction using SDAIE strategies to all EL students in the classroom. The LEA will provide training to refine SDAIE and integrated instruction strategies.

instruction and remediation for under-performing students District English Language Learner Specialist will provide continued support of administrators and classroom teachers in providing the best first

Site English Language Learner facilitators will meet regularly to collaborate on effective practices and consistency in service throughout the

Special education inclusion practices will increase the amount of time students with disabilities are mainstreamed into the general education

needs of English Learners and students with disabilities LEA will provide two non-student days and other release time (minimum days and prep periods) for collaboration and planning to meet the

LEA will increase support to teachers in the use of technology as a tool to support high quality instruction

4. Specify actions to implement the identified strategies that have the greatest likelihood of improving student achievement in meeting state standards.

	7	-		
learner students, i.e. Newcomer, Long Term English Learner (LTEL), and students at risk of becoming LTEL.	for students with disabilities. A The LEA will provide supplemental in the implementation of the inclusion model	2. The LEA will revise the Multi-Tiered Systems of Support (MTSS) plan, then work with site administrators on implementing their structures and services.	Arts and will monitor the progress of students.	Please identify actions to be implemented to accomplish the identified strategies and how they will be supported and monitored. (See examples of full implementation descriptions in the Academic Program Survey [APS] and the District Assistance Survey [DAS] on the CDE State Assessment Tools Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ti/stateassesspi.asp.)
Assistant Superintendent of Instruction and Pupil Services, Charlayne Sprague and Program Specialist Instruction Sherri Carmean	Assistant Superintendent of Instruction and Pupil Services, Charlayne Sprague	Assistant Superintendent of Instruction and Pupil Services, Charlayne Sprague and Special Education Director, Beth Freer	Assistant Superintendent of Instruction and Pupil Services, Charlayne Sprague Ste Administrators	Person(s) Responsible
August-May	August-May	August-May	Fall session or Spring session	Specific Timeline
\$50,000/ Title III General Fund	No cost	No cost	\$25,000/ General Fund	Estimated Cost/ Funding Source

	meetings with site administrators.	5. The LEA will provide release time for general education teachers and special education teachers to analyze and monitor the academic progress of students with disabilities.
8	Assistant Superintendent of Instruction and Pupil Services, Charlayne Sprague	Assistant Superintendent of Instruction and Pupil Services, Charlayne Sprague and Special Education Director, Beth Freer
	August-May	August-May
	No cost	\$10,000/ General Fund

Ç Provide high-quality professional development for the instructional staff that focuses on instructional improvement and supports the strategies and actions described above.

))	
instructional staff to address the identified strategies and actions.	Responsible	Timeline	Funding Source (including 10% setaside from Title I, Part A)
 The LEA will develop a Haiku page to support teachers of English Learners with resources and strategies for integrated and designated instruction. 	Assistant Superintendent of Instruction and Pupil Services,	August-May	No cost
	Charlayne Sprague and Program Specialist Instruction Sherri Carmean		
The LEA will provide professional development for teachers and paraprofessionals in the use of effective language development instruction and literacy for English Learners.	Assistant Superintendent of Instruction and Pupil Services, Charlayne Sprague	August-May	\$81,000/ General Fund
3. The LEA will provide professional development in implementation of state standards, literacy, language development, instructional technology, assessment, and classroom engagement structures that build positive interdependence, individual accountability, equal participation, and simultaneous involvement (PIES) through Kagan Cooperative training modules.	Assistant Superintendent of Instruction and Pupil Services, Charlayne Sprague	August-May	\$280,000/ Title I General Fund
4. The LEA will provide a literacy coach to Title I schools to support the implementation of an effective literacy program and remediation for underperforming students.	Assistant Superintendent of Instruction and Pupil Services, Charlayne Sprague	August-May	\$85,000/ Title I

6. Incorporate, as appropriate, activities before school, after school, during the summer, and/or during an extension of the school year.

Please describe those activities and how the LEA will	Person(s)	Specific	Estimated	Funding
incorporate them.	Responsible	Timeline	Cost	Source
 Extended day intervention program in the area of language 	Assistant	Fall Session	\$25,000	LCFF
arts/reading for under-performing and at-risk students.	Superintendent of			Supplemental
	Instruction and	Spring Session		2
	Pupil Services,			
	Charlayne			
2. Online reading remediation program in language arts/reading in	Assistant		\$2000/Title I	LCFF
use during extended day intervention program.	Superintendent of		Site	Supplemental
	Instruction and			
	Pupil Services,			
	Charlayne Sprague			
	Site Administrators			

7. Include strategies to promote effective parental involvement in the school.

3. Parent Engagement supported through on-going family events at school sites, including Back to School Night, Open House, Family Fun Nights, Founders Day events, Battle of the Books, and theater and musical performances.		services.	used to improve district educational programs and				provide support for student learning at home	 Parent Education learning opportunities at schools 	the LEA will support them across the LEA	Please describe parental involvement strategies and how
ugh on-going Back to School s, Founders Day er and musical			stered and results ograms and				nome.	ties at schools	he LEA.	ent strategies and how
Site Administrators	Site Administrators	Instruction and Pupil Services, Charlayne Sprague	Assistant Superintendent of	Site Administrators	Charlayne Sprague	Instruction and	Superintendent of	Assistant	Responsible	Person(s)
August- May		May	August-		February	October	688 688 69 7	August	Timeline	Specific
vary			\$1500		vary	costs	site	School	d Cost	Estimate
General Fund			General Fund		General Fund	Supplemental	LCFF	Title I	Source	Funding

	vary			Renaissance Recognition.
(I)	costs	May		programs such as Watch Logs, PIA/PIO and
	site			available at each school site, including organized
\simeq	Schoo	August-	Site Administrators	5. Wide variety of parent volunteer opportunities
			Site Administrators	
			Cnariayne Sprague	
			Pupil Services,	
		May	Instruction and	District English Language Advisory Councils (DELAC).
			Superintendent of	Site Council (SSC), District Advisory Council (DAC) and
	\$0	August-	Assistant	4. Parent representatives serve on PTA/PTO, School

LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY PROGRAM IMPROVEMENT PLAN ADDENDUM ASSURANCE PAGE

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Plan Information:

Name of LEA: ETIWANDA SCHOOL DISTRICT

County District Code: 36-67702

Date of Local Governing Board Approval:

District Superintendent: Superintendent: DR. SHAWN JUDSON

Address: 6061 EAST AVE.

City: ETIWANDA

Zip Code: 91739

Phone: (909) 899-2451

FAX: (909) 803-3025

E-mail: shawn judson@etiwanda.org

Signatures:

On behalf of LEAs, participants included in the preparation of this LEA Program Improvement Plan Addendum:

Shawn Judson March 9, 2017

Signature of Superintendent Printed Name of Superintendent Date

Mondi Taylor March 9, 2017

Signature of Board President Printed Name of Board President Date

By submission of the local board approved LEA PI Plan Addendum (in lieu of the original signature assurance page in hard copy), the LEA certifies that the plan has been locally adopted and original signed copies of the assurances are on file in the LEA. The certification reads:

Certification: I hereby certify that all of the applicable state and federal rules and regulations will be observed by this LEA and that, to the best of my knowledge, information contained in this Plan is correct and complete. Legal assurances for all programs are accepted as the basic legal condition for the operation of selected projects and programs and copies of assurances are retained onsite. I certify that we accept all general and program specific assurances for Titles I, II, and/or III as appropriate, except for those for which a waiver has been obtained. A copy of all waivers will remain on file. I certify that actual ink signatures for this LEA Plan/Plan Addendum/Action Plan are on file, including signatures of any required external providers.